



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/221,542	12/28/1998	JACQUES JOSEPH LABRIE	ST9-98-004	4441

687 7590 11/18/2002

ALBERT P. SHARPE, III
FAY, SHARPE, BEALL, FAGAN,
MINNICH & MCKEE
1100 SUPERIOR AVENUE, SUITE 700
CLEVELAND, OH 44114

EXAMINER

CHEN, TE Y

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2171

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/221,542

Applicant(s)

Labrie et al.

Examiner

T. Chen

Art Unit

2171

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 21, 2002.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____ 6) ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 2171

Response to Amendment

1. This is in response to amendment filed on 08/21/2002 (paper # 7).
2. Claims 1-28 remain for examination, claims 19 and 27 were amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

3. Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Consentino et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6,055,515).

4. As to claim 7, Consentino et al. (hereinafter referred as Consentino) disclosed the invention as claimed including:

1) a computer having a memory and a data storage device coupled thereto that stores data;
[col. 3, lines 24-47];

Art Unit: 2171

2) one or more computer programs, performed by the computer, for selecting a target object in an information catalog and providing information about a source from which the target object was derived [Abstract; col. 9, claim 17; Fig. 1a -1d].

5. As to claim 8, Consentino further disclosed the target object is represented as a node in a tree structure [Fig. 5; col. 7, lines 55-58].

6. As to claim 9, Consentino further disclosed the system having one or more computer programs including means for providing the transformation information of source to target [col. 5, lines 5-13; col. 7, line 56 - configurable node labels; col (s). 9-10, claims 17-21].

7. As to claim 10, Consentino further disclosed the system having means to identify a transformation producing function [col. 5, lines 5-13; for example indicator and floating menu; col. 7, line 11; col. 8, claims 2 and 4].

8. As to claims 11, Consentino further disclosed the system having means for providing lineage information [col. 5, lines 5-13; for example the lineage navigation paths of Fig.(s) 3-5];

9. As to claim 12, Consentino further disclosed the system having means for maintaining transformation models for use in providing the lineage information [Fig. 5; col. 7, line 11- indicators and floating menus; col. 10, lines 10-12].

Art Unit: 2171

10. As to claims 1-6, the steps in the claimed method are deemed to be made inherit by the functions of the apparatus structure in the combination discussed above, hence were rejected for the same reasons.

11. As to claims 13-18, these claims recite the same features as in claims 1-12 with a computer program product form. As the underlying process has been shown to be taught or fairly suggested by the teachings of Consentino, hence they were rejected for the same reasons giving above.

12. As to claim 28, Consentino further disclosed the system comprising:

a) a plurality of objects [the tree structure which has one of more nodes (or objects), Fig. 2A] including a target object wherein the target object was derived from one or more transformations of one or more sources data [Fig. 3, col. 6, lines 53-61];

b) a user interface for receiving user input for selecting one of the plurality of objects [the system browser disclosed in col. 5, lines 5-7; 10-15; Fig. 1a];

c) user interface configure to display the transformation lineage information in response to receiving user selected input [col. 5, lines 40-55].

13. As to claim 27, this claim recites similar features as in claims 1-18 and 28 in form of a computer-readable medium having contents to perform data navigating. As the underlying process has

Art Unit: 2171

been shown to be taught or fairly suggested by the teachings of Consentino, hence they were rejected for the same reasons giving above.

14. As to claims 19-26, the steps in the claimed method are deemed to be made inherit by the functions of the apparatus structure of claims 7-18 and 27-28, in the combination discussed above, hence were rejected for the same reasons.

Response to Arguments

15. Applicant's arguments filed on 08/21/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

16. Regarding Applicant's arguments with respect to the 102 rejections, the examiner disagrees with applicant's assertion that the prior art on record, including Consentino et al. discloses or suggests: 1) no information about a data source is provided when the target object derived from that data source is selected; 2) no teaching of identifying a transformation producing function as claimed by applicant; 3) no lineage information as claimed by applicant.

17. In response to applicant's argument for 1), Consentino et al. expressly disclose a database system having means and methods (multiple-navigation path browsing utility) to provide information about a data source (indications of ancestors such as immediate parents) in a tree view when the target object derived from that data source is selected using the Graphical User Interface of the

Art Unit: 2171

browser, wherein, the target object (or successor) is apparently derived from it's source objects (ancestors) [see Abstract; col. 3, line 57 - col. 4, line 11].

18. In response to applicant's argument for 2), although applicant claims “identifying a function used to transform said source”, applicant fails to disclose the mechanism that performs the claimed function. As such, the multi-navigation path browsing mechanism disclosed by Consentino et al. savers the same function as claimed by applicant [see col. 5, lines 5-13] and thereby meets the claim limitation. For example, the use of indicator and floating means to find (or identify) the transformation relationship among sources and targets in a data structure view [see Figs. 5].

19. In response to applicant's argument for 3), Applicant discloses that “the TLM system 118 defines the lineage of data. That is, the TLM system 118 indicates to the user what is the sources of the warehouse were” [see page 10, lines 7-8]. As such, the linear multi-navigation path disclosed by Consentino et al., leads to the retrieval of source data (or ancestor data) of a warehouse (a multiple inheritance taxonomy such as a product catalog database) and identifies the same lineage of data claimed by applicant [Abstract, lines 8-15].

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2171

20. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Chen whose telephone number is (703) 308-1155. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 7:30 A.M. to 4:30 P.M.

22. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic, can be reached at (703) 308-1436. The fax phone numbers for this group are:

(703) 746-7238 (After Final Communication);

(703) 746-7239 (Official Communications);

and (703) 746-7240 (For Status Inquiries, Draft Communication).

Art Unit: 2171

23. Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Susan Chen

Nov 05, 2002


SAFET METJAHIC
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100